

From: (b) (6)
Sent: Monday, September 05, 2016 2:40 PM
To: HarborComments
Subject: Willamette Superfund Clean up comments

Greetings, I am writing to indicate my support for Alternative G, although I believe that more sediments should be removed than this alternative proposes. I believe that the entities responsible for polluting the Willamette River should pay for removal and remediation of contaminated sediments, and I do not believe that "Natural Recovery" is a sufficiently vigorous approach to this remediation. A reasonable goal for remediation should be the lifting of the human consumption fish advisory for PCBs, clean water that meets State standards for PCBs, dioxins, and DDT, and restoration of upland, aquatic and nearshore habitats disturbed by the project should be included in requirements imposed on the responsible parties. The lifting of the fish advisory is a key goal that should be targeted for a specific date. The responsible parties accrued enormous profits over many decades by using our river as a dump. This is unacceptable, and the EPA needs to send a strong message to the industrial community that the clean up requirements will be vigorous and thorough. The Willamette River is the heart of Oregon - its waters should be as clean as we can make them, for people, for fish and for wildlife. We know that clean and healthy rivers can help support a thriving economy, and the EPA and the State of Oregon need to work toward this end by requiring a thorough clean up of the harbor

Thank you,

(b) (6)
Eugene, OR 97403